



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,826	10/17/2006	Catherine M. Verfaillie	89003-2006.1	2871

27805 7590 08/15/2008  
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.  
Intellectual Property Group  
P.O. BOX 8801  
DAYTON, OH 45401-8801

EXAMINER

WANG, CHANG YU

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1649

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/15/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/561,826

**Applicant(s)**

VERFAILLIE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Chang-Yu Wang

**Art Unit**

1649

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chang-Yu Wang.(3) Gwen Wood.(2) Christine Saoud.(4) Anne Brown.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO02/086073 (Studer).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Discussed whether WO02/086073 and the cited prior art teach the limitation of the sequential steps and the limitation of "co-culturing with astrocytes" recited in the claim1. 2. Applicant would consider to file a response to argue the above issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christine J Saoud/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647